arXiv:quant-ph/0503124v1 14 Mar 2005

Notes on Polarization Measurements

A. Aiello and J.P. Woerdman

Huygens Laboratory, Leiden University

P.O. Box 9504, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

Abstract

We review a few useful concepts about polarization measurements in the quantum domain. Using a perfectly general formalism, we show how to build the quantum counterpart of some classical quantities like Stokes parameters and Mueller matrices, which are well known in classical polarization-measurement theory.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Nk, 42.25.Ja

The effective polarization density matrix

In these notes, our first aim is to re-derive the formulas for the effective density matrix ρ_{eff} we introduced in [1], without using the concept of Stokes parameters and without using a circular polarization basis. To begin with, let us establish our notation. In order to make the results comparable with the ones in Refs. [2], we adopt a relativistic notation. All formulas are given in natural units ($c = \hbar = 1$) and all quantized fields are transverse. In this context, single-photon plane-wave states are denoted by $|\mathbf{k}, \lambda\rangle$ where $\mathbf{k}$ is the spatial part of the four momentum $k = (k^0, \mathbf{k}),\ k^0 = |\mathbf{k}| \equiv \omega$, and $\lambda = 1, 2$ is the *linear* polarization. They are created from the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ by the corresponding creation operator $\hat{a}^\dagger_\lambda(\mathbf{k})$ which, together with the annihilation operator $\hat{a}_\lambda(\mathbf{k})$, satisfies the commutation relation

$$\left[\hat{a}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}), \hat{a}^\dagger_{\lambda'}(\mathbf{k}')\right] = (2\pi)^3 2k^0 \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'). \tag{1}$$

With this convention, the normalization condition between two plane-wave states reads

$$\langle \mathbf{k}, \lambda | \mathbf{k}', \lambda' \rangle = (2\pi)^3 2k^0 \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'), \tag{2}$$

which can be obtained directly by calculating the expectation value with respect to the vacuum state of the left side of Eq. (1). Then the resolution of the identity can be written as

$$1 = |0\rangle\langle 0| + \int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} |\mathbf{k}, \lambda\rangle\langle \mathbf{k}, \lambda| + \sum \left\{\text{multiparticle states}\right\}, \tag{3}$$

where $\tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}$ denotes the Lorentz-invariant measure

$$\tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k} = \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2k^0}. \tag{4}$$

The plane-wave states $|\mathbf{k}, \lambda\rangle$ are quite special since they are eigenstates of the linear momentum of the field. More generally, a single-photon state can be described by the density operator

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{1,2} \int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}\, \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}' \rho_{\lambda\lambda'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') |\mathbf{k}, \lambda\rangle\langle \mathbf{k}', \lambda'|, \tag{5}$$

where $\rho_{\lambda\lambda'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ is a Hermitian positive semidefinite 2×2 matrix. While the linear momentum and the polarization degrees of freedom are decoupled for photons in the plane-wave states $|\mathbf{k}, \lambda\rangle$ [1], this is not the case for photons described by $\hat{\rho}$ which spans an ∞^2-dimensional vector space (2 polarization directions for each wave vector $\mathbf{k}$). Therefore, if

one want to deal with polarization degrees of freedom only, it becomes necessary to reduce $\hat{\rho}$ to an *effective* finite-dimensional representation ρ_{eff} (2×2 or 3×3) [2]. Now we present a derivation of ρ_{eff} which enlightens the geometrical aspects of the problem. To this end, we have to do some manipulations on the fields. In the Coulomb gauge, which is assumed to hold throughout this notes, the free field vector potential operator $\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r},t)$ is written

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r},t) = \int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \big[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(\lambda)}(\mathbf{k})\hat{a}_\lambda(\mathbf{k})e^{-i(k^0 t-\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r})} + \text{h.c.}\big], \tag{6}$$

where the real unit vectors $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(\lambda)}(\mathbf{k})$ (*linear* polarization) satisfy the following orthogonality conditions:

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k})\cdot\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}) = 0, \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k})\times\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\mathbf{k}}{k^0}. \tag{7}$$

Since we are working with the *transverse* field ($A^0(\mathbf{r},t)=0$), we can assume an Euclidean metric in the spatial 3-dimensional space and make no distinction between high and low indices. It is useful to define $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \mathbf{k}/k^0$ and to build the $\mathbf{k}$-dependent complete basis $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k}) = \{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}), \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}), \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(3)}(\mathbf{k})\}$. Let us consider now three unit vectors $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}$ of a Cartesian coordinate system or, more generally, a set $\mathcal{R}$ of three real orthogonal unit vectors $\mathcal{R} = \{\boldsymbol{e}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{e}^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{e}^{(3)}\}$:

$$\boldsymbol{e}^{(a)}\cdot\boldsymbol{e}^{(b)} = \delta^{ab}, \qquad (a,b=1,\ldots,3), \tag{8}$$

which form a complete basis in the ordinary Euclidean 3-dimensional space:

$$\sum_{a=1}^{3} \boldsymbol{e}^{(a)} : \boldsymbol{e}^{(a)} = \mathbf{1} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{a=1}^{3} e_i^{(a)} e_j^{(a)} = \delta_{ij}, \qquad (i,j=1,\ldots,3), \tag{9}$$

where the unit dyadic $\mathbf{1}$ has been written as a sum of dyadic products $\boldsymbol{e}^{(a)} : \boldsymbol{e}^{(a)}$ ($a = 1,2,3$). The vectors $\boldsymbol{e}^{(a)}$ define three orthogonal *spatial* orientations and they are independent from the *momentum* direction $\mathbf{k}/k^0$. However, for a given $\mathbf{k}$, one can write the orthogonal transformation $\Lambda(\mathbf{k})$ between the two basis $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathcal{R}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{ab}(\mathbf{k}) &\equiv \boldsymbol{e}^{(a)}\cdot\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(b)}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} e_i^{(a)} \epsilon_i^{(b)}(\mathbf{k}). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Then we can write

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(\lambda)}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{b=1}^{3} \boldsymbol{e}^{(b)} \Lambda_{b\lambda}(\mathbf{k}), \qquad (\lambda = 1,2,3), \tag{11}$$

and insert this formula in Eq. (6) in order to obtain:

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{b=1}^{3} \boldsymbol{e}^{(b)} \hat{A}_b(\mathbf{r},t), \tag{12}$$

where we have defined the b-th component of the field $\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r},t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_b(\mathbf{r},t) &= \int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \big[\Lambda_{b\lambda}(\mathbf{k})\hat{a}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i(k^0 t - \mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r})} + \text{h.c.}\big] \\ &\equiv \int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}\, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_b(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i(k^0 t - \mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r})} + \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where we have defined the transformed annihilation operators $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_b(\mathbf{k})$ $(b = 1, 2, 3)$, as:

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_b(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \Lambda_{b\lambda}(\mathbf{k})\hat{a}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}), \qquad (b = 1, 2, 3). \tag{14}$$

It is easy to check that these operators satisfy the following commutation relations

$$\big[\hat{\mathcal{A}}_a(\mathbf{k}), \hat{\mathcal{A}}^\dagger_{a'}(\mathbf{k}')\big] = (2\pi)^3 2k^0 \Delta_{aa'} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'), \tag{15}$$

where we have defined the transverse Kronecker symbol $\Delta_{aa'}$ as

$$\Delta_{aa'} \equiv \delta_{aa'} - \frac{k_a k_{a'}}{|\mathbf{k}|^2}. \tag{16}$$

As expected, the longitudinal part $-\frac{k_a k_{a'}}{|\mathbf{k}|^2}$ of $\Delta_{aa'}$, spoils the *canonical* commutation relation.

In the quantum theory of photo-detection it is a standard practice [3] to define the positive frequency operators $\hat{V}_b(\mathbf{r},t)$ $(b = 1, 2, 3)$ as

$$\hat{V}_b(\mathbf{r},t) \equiv \int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k} \sqrt{2k^0}\, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_b(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i(k^0 t - \mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r})}, \tag{17}$$

and such that $\sum_{b=1}^{3} \hat{V}^\dagger_b(\mathbf{r},t)\hat{V}_b(\mathbf{r},t)$ represent the photon density in $(\mathbf{r},t)$. These operators can be used to build the *polarization correlation* operators

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{J}_{ab} &\equiv \int d^3\mathbf{r}\, \hat{V}^\dagger_a(\mathbf{r},t)\hat{V}_b(\mathbf{r},t) \\ &= \int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}\, \hat{\mathcal{A}}^\dagger_a(\mathbf{k})\hat{\mathcal{A}}_b(\mathbf{k}), \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where the last result follows immediately from Eq. (17). The meaning of the matrix operator $\hat{J} \equiv ||\hat{J}_{ab}||$ becomes clear when we calculate its trace:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}\hat{J} &= \sum_{a=1}^{3} \hat{J}_{aa} \\ &= \int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \hat{a}_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})\hat{a}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \hat{N}, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where $\hat{N}$ denotes the photon-number operator.

Now, by inserting the identity resolution Eq. (3) in Eq. (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{J}_{ab} &= \int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}\, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_a^{\dagger}|0\rangle\langle 0|\hat{\mathcal{A}}_b + \sum \{\text{multiparticle states}\} \\ &\equiv \int_{\mathcal{D}} \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}\, |\mathbf{k}, a\rangle\langle \mathbf{k}, b| \qquad (a, b = 1, 2, 3), \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where the last equality holds *only* in the Hilbert spaces spanned by the one-photon states, $\mathcal{D}$ represents the set of *detected* modes, and we have defined the single-photon states $|\mathbf{k}, a\rangle$ as:

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_a^{\dagger}|0\rangle = |\mathbf{k}, a\rangle, \qquad (a = 1, 2, 3). \tag{21}$$

From Eqs. (19-20) it is clear that $\hat{J}_{11}, \hat{J}_{22}$ and $\hat{J}_{33}$ form a POVM (positive operator valued measure [4]) in the space spanned by the one-photon states. As we saw previously, the operators $\hat{J}_{ab}$'s allow us to introduce a 3×3 correlation matrix operator

$$\hat{J} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \hat{J}_{11} & \hat{J}_{21} & \hat{J}_{31} \\ \hat{J}_{12} & \hat{J}_{22} & \hat{J}_{32} \\ \hat{J}_{13} & \hat{J}_{23} & \hat{J}_{33} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{22}$$

Now, for a light beam properly collimated around the direction $\boldsymbol{e}^{(3)} = \boldsymbol{z}$, the 2×2 matrix obtained by extracting the first two rows and two columns from $\mathbb{J} \equiv \langle \hat{J} \rangle$, coincides with the well known *coherency matrix* of the beam [5], where the bracket average $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is understood with respect to the state of the field. More generally, three independent 2×2 matrices can be extracted from $\hat{J}$:

$$\hat{J}^{(a)} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{J}_{bb} & \hat{J}_{cb} \\ \hat{J}_{bc} & \hat{J}_{cc} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{aligned} a, b, c &\in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ c &> b, \\ c, b &\neq a. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

In principle, each $\mathbb{J}^{(a)} \equiv \langle \hat{J}^{(a)} \rangle$ can be determined by measuring the Stokes parameters of the beam (either classical or quantum), with a polarization analyzer whose axis is parallel to $\boldsymbol{e}^{(a)}$. For example, for a beam propagating along the axis $\boldsymbol{z}$, a set of *generalized* Hermitian Stokes operators [1, 6] can be defined as

$$\hat{S}_\mu \equiv \mathrm{Tr}\{\sigma_{(\mu)} \hat{J}^{(3)}\}, \qquad (\mu = 0, \ldots, 3), \tag{24}$$

where the $\sigma_{(\mu)}$ $(\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ are the normalized Pauli's matrices [7]. Then from Eqs. (23-24) it readily follows

$$\mathbb{J}^{(3)} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{3} s_\mu \sigma_{(\mu)}, \tag{25}$$

where $s_\mu \equiv \langle \hat{S}_\mu \rangle$. Now we are ready to accomplish our initial task by introducing the 2×2 effective reduced density matrix

$$\rho_{\mathrm{eff}} \equiv \frac{\mathbb{J}^{(3)}}{\mathrm{Tr}\mathbb{J}^{(3)}}. \tag{26}$$

It is easy to check that when the set $\mathcal{D}$ of the detected modes reduces to a single mode $\mathbf{k} \parallel \boldsymbol{e}^{(3)}$, the definition of ρ_{eff} above coincides with the well known polarization density matrix of a photon [8]. More generally, by using Eq. (6), it is possible to introduce an effective 3×3 reduced density matrix as $\rho \equiv \mathbb{J}/\mathrm{Tr}[\mathbb{J}]$, which coincides with the one given by Peres *et al.* [2].

Single-photon scattering

Let us consider now a generic scattering process which transform the initial single-photon density operator $\hat{\rho}^{\mathrm{in}}$ in the output density operator $\hat{\rho}^{\mathrm{out}}$. The most general linear transformation between $\hat{\rho}^{\mathrm{in}}$ and $\hat{\rho}^{\mathrm{out}}$, which leaves the density operator Hermitian and positive semidefinite, can be written

$$\hat{\rho}^{\mathrm{out}} = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{S}} p_A \mathcal{T}^A \hat{\rho}^{\mathrm{in}} \mathcal{T}^{A\dagger}, \tag{27}$$

where the scattering system has been represented by the ensemble $\mathcal{S}$ of scattering matrices $\{\mathcal{T}^A\}$, each of them occurring with probability $p_A \geq 0$. If we insert Eq. (5) into Eq. (27) we obtain

$$\hat{\rho}^{\mathrm{out}} = \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{1,2} \int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}\, \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}' \rho^{\mathrm{in}}_{\lambda\lambda'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \sum_{A \in \mathcal{S}} p_A \mathcal{T}^A |\mathbf{k}, \lambda\rangle\langle \mathbf{k}', \lambda'| \mathcal{T}^{A\dagger}, \tag{28}$$

where

$$\sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}} p_A \mathcal{T}^A|\mathbf{k},\lambda\rangle\langle\mathbf{k}',\lambda'|\mathcal{T}^{A\dagger} = \sum_{\theta,\theta'}^{1,2}\int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{q}\,\tilde{d}\,\mathbf{q}'\sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}} p_A|\mathbf{q}\theta\rangle\langle\mathbf{q}\theta|\mathcal{T}^A|\mathbf{k},\lambda\rangle\langle\mathbf{k}',\lambda'|\mathcal{T}^{A\dagger}|\mathbf{q}'\theta'\rangle\langle\mathbf{q}'\theta'|$$

$$= \sum_{\theta,\theta'}^{1,2}\int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{q}\,\tilde{d}\,\mathbf{q}'\sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}} p_A \mathcal{T}^A_{\theta\lambda}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})\mathcal{T}^{A\dagger}_{\lambda'\theta'}(\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q}')|\mathbf{q}\theta\rangle\langle\mathbf{q}'\theta'|. \tag{29}$$

From the equation above, it is straightforward to see that we can write

$$\hat{\rho}^{\rm out} = \sum_{\theta,\theta'}^{1,2}\int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{q}\,\tilde{d}\,\mathbf{q}'\rho^{\rm out}_{\theta\theta'}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}')|\mathbf{q}\theta\rangle\langle\mathbf{q}'\theta'| \tag{30}$$

where

$$\rho^{\rm out}_{\theta\theta'}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}') = \sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}} p_A \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{1,2}\int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}\,\tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}'\mathcal{T}^A_{\theta\lambda}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})\rho^{\rm in}_{\lambda\lambda'}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')\mathcal{T}^{A\dagger}_{\lambda'\theta'}(\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q}'). \tag{31}$$

Since $\rho^{\rm t}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') = ||\rho^{\rm t}_{\lambda\lambda'}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')||$ (t = in, out) are 2×2 matrices, it is always possible to express them in the complete Pauli basis as [7]

$$\rho^{\rm t}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') = \sum_{\mu=0}^{3} S^{\rm t}_{\mu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')\sigma_{(\mu)}, \tag{32}$$

where we have introduced the *two-mode Stokes parameters* $S^{\rm t}_{\mu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') = {\rm Tr}\{\sigma_{(\mu)}\rho^{\rm t}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')\}$. Since Eq. (31) can be written in matrix form as

$$\rho^{\rm out}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}') = \sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}} p_A \int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}\,\tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}'\mathcal{T}^A(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})\rho^{\rm in}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')\mathcal{T}^{A\dagger}(\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q}'), \tag{33}$$

it is easy to write

$$S^{\rm out}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}') = \sum_{\nu=0}^{3}\int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}\,\tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}' S^{\rm in}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')\sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}} p_A {\rm Tr}\left\{\sigma_{(\mu)}\mathcal{T}^A(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})\sigma_{(\nu)}\mathcal{T}^{A\dagger}(\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q}')\right\}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{\nu=0}^{3}\int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}\,\tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}' S^{\rm in}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')\sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}} p_A\, m^A_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}';\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') \tag{34}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{\nu=0}^{3}\int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}\,\tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}' M_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}';\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')S^{\rm in}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'),$$

where the four-mode density Mueller matrix

$$m^A_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}';\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') = {\rm Tr}\left\{\sigma_{(\mu)}\mathcal{T}^A(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})\sigma_{(\nu)}\mathcal{T}^{A\dagger}(\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q}')\right\}, \tag{35}$$

is defined for a single ensemble realization A, while

$$M_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}';\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') = \sum_{A\in\mathcal{S}} p_A\, m^A_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}';\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'), \tag{36}$$

represents the ensemble-averaged four-mode density Mueller matrix. It is easy to see that when the input state is a single-mode $\mathbf{k}_0$ state:

$$\rho^{\rm in}_{\lambda\lambda'}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') = \rho_{\lambda\lambda'}\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_0)\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}_0), \tag{37}$$

then

$$S^{\rm in}_{\mu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') = s^{\rm in}_{\mu}\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_0)\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}_0), \tag{38}$$

where $\rho = ||\rho_{\lambda\lambda'}||$ and $s^{\rm in}_{\mu} \equiv {\rm Tr}\{\sigma_{(\mu)}\rho\}$. In this case, the single-mode input and output Stokes parameters have the same functional relation as their classical counterparts:

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\rm out}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}_0,\mathbf{q}_0) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{3}\int \tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}\,\tilde{d}\,\mathbf{k}' M_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q}_0,\mathbf{q}_0;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')s^{\rm in}_{\nu}\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_0)\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k}_0) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{3} M_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q}_0,\mathbf{q}_0;\mathbf{k}_0,\mathbf{k}_0)s^{\rm in}_{\nu} \\ &\equiv \sum_{\nu=0}^{3} M_{\mu\nu}s^{\rm in}_{\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge support from the EU under the IST-ATESIT contract. This project is also supported by FOM.

---

[1] A. Aiello and J. P. Woerdman, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 023808 (2004).

[2] A. Peres, and D. R. Terno, J. Mod. Opt. **50**, 1165 (2003); N. H. Lindner, A. Peres, and D. R. Terno, J. Phys. A **36**, L449 (2003); A. Peres, and D. R. Terno, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 93 (2004).

[3] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, 1995), 1st ed.

[4] A. Peres, *Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods* (Kluwer Academic Publisher, 1998).

[5] M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics* (Pergamon Press, 1984), sixth ed.

[6] J. M. Jauch and F. Rohrlich, *The Theory of Photons and Electrons* (Addison-Wesley Publ. Co., Cambridge, MA, 1955), revised and updated first ed.

[7] A. Aiello, and J. P. Woerdman, math-ph/0412061 (2004).

[8] V. B. Berestetskiĭ, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitaevskiĭ, *Relativistic Quantum Theory* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1971), 1st ed.